

Borsuk-Ulam type theorems for manifolds

Oleg R. Musin *

Abstract

This paper establishes a Borsuk-Ulam type theorem for PL-manifolds with a finite group action, depending on the free equivariant cobordism class of a manifold. In particular, necessary and sufficient conditions are considered for a manifold with a free involution to be a Borsuk-Ulam type.

Keywords: Borsuk - Ulam theorem, group action, equivariant cobordism.

1 Introduction

The Borsuk-Ulam theorem states that any continuous antipodal $f : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ has zeros. (A map f is called *antipodal* if $f(-x) = -f(x)$.) One of the most interesting proofs of this theorem is B\'{a}rány's geometric proof [1] (see also [9, Sec. 2.2]). A very similar proof was given in [10], and [13] has several more references for proofs of this type.

Let $X = \mathbb{S}^n \times [0, 1]$, $X_0 = \mathbb{S}^n \times \{0\}$, and $X_1 = \mathbb{S}^n \times \{1\}$. Let $\tau(x, t) = (-x, t)$, where $(x, t) \in X$, $x \in \mathbb{S}^n$, and $t \in [0, 1]$. Clearly, τ is a free involution on X .

The first step of B\'{a}rány's proof is to show that any continuous antipodal (i.e. $F(\tau(x)) = -F(x)$) map $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ can be approximated by "sufficiently generic" antipodal maps (see [9, Sec. 2.2]).

Let $f_i : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, where $i = 0, 1$, be antipodal generic maps. Let $F(x, t) = tf_1(x) + (1 - t)f_0(x)$. Since F is generic, the set $Z_F := F^{-1}(0)$ is a manifold of dimension one. Then Z_F consists of arcs $\{\gamma_k\}$ with ends in $Z_{f_i} := Z_F \cap X_i = f_i^{-1}(0)$ and cycles which do not intersect X_i . Note that $\tau(Z_F) = Z_F$ and $\tau(\gamma_i) = \gamma_j$ with $i \neq j$. Therefore, (Z_F, Z_{f_0}, Z_{f_1}) is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -cobordism. It is not hard to see that Z_{f_0} is $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -cobordant to Z_{f_1} if and only if $|Z_{f_1}| = |Z_{f_0}| \pmod{4}$.

To complete the proof, take f_0 as the standard orthogonal projection of $\mathbb{S}^n$ onto $\mathbb{R}^n$:

$$f_0(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = (x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad \text{where } x_1^2 + \dots + x_{n+1}^2 = 1.$$

Since $|Z_{f_0}| = 2$, we have $|Z_{f_1}| = 2 \pmod{4}$. This equality shows that for any antipodal generic f_1 the set $Z_{f_1} = f_1^{-1}(0)$ is not empty.

Our analysis of this proof shows that it can be extended for a wide class of manifolds. For instance, consider two-dimensional orientable manifolds $N = M_g^2$

*Research supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0807640 and NSA grant MSPF-08G-201.

of even genus g and non-orientable manifolds $N = P_m^2$ with even m . Without loss of generality, we can assume that N is “centrally symmetric” embedded to $\mathbb{R}^k$, where $k = 3$ for $N = M_g^2$ and $k = 4$ for $N = P_m^2$. That means $A(N) = N$, where $A(x) = -x$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Then $T := A|_N : N \rightarrow N$ is a free involution. It can be shown that there is a projection of $N \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ into a 2-plane R passing through the origin 0 with $|Z_{f_0}| = 2$. (See details in Corollary 1.)

Actually, Bárány’s proof can be (almost word for word) applied for N . Namely, the following statement holds:

Let $N = M_g^2$ with even g or $N = P_m^2$ with even m . Then for any continuous $h : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ there is $x \in N$ such that $h(T(x)) = h(x)$.

Note that N can be represented as a connected sum $M \# M$. This statement can be extended for $N = M \# M$ with any closed manifold M (see Corollary 1).¹ We see that one of the most important steps here is the existence of a generic equivariant $f_0 : N^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|Z_{f_0}| = 2 \pmod{4}$.

This approach works for any free group action. Namely, for both technical steps a “generic approximation lemma” and a “ (Z_F, Z_{f_0}, Z_{f_1}) cobordism lemma” can be extended for free actions of a finite group G (Section 2).

Let G be a finite group acting free on a closed connected PL-manifold M^m and linearly on $\mathbb{R}^n$. In Section 3 we show that a Borsuk-Ulam type theorem for M , depending on the free equivariant cobordism class of M (Theorem 1). For the case $m = n$, Theorem 1 shows that if there is a continuous equivariant transversal to zeros $h : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|Z_h| = |G| \pmod{2|G|}$, then for any continuous equivariant $f : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ the zero set Z_f is not empty.

In Section 4, this approach is applied to the classical case: manifolds with free involutions. There we give necessary and sufficient conditions for $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -manifolds to have a Borsuk-Ulam type theorem (Theorem 2).

Lemma 4 shows that the zero set Z_f is invariant up to G -cobordisms. Based on this fact, in Section 5 we define homomorphisms μ of free G -cobordism groups which can be considered as obstructions for G -maps. Theorem 3 shows that for a free G -manifold M^m and a given linear action G on $\mathbb{R}^n$, if this invariant is not zero in the free equivariant cobordisms, then for any continuous equivariant $f : M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ the set Z_f is not empty. In this section also we also provide some applications of Theorem 3 for the case $G = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^k$.

The main goal of this paper is to show that the geometric proof gives a method for checking whether a G -manifold M is of the Borsuk-Ulam type. Namely, from Theorem 1 and its extension Corollary 2, it follows that if there exists a generic equivariant $h : M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $[Z_h] \neq 0$ in the correspondent group of cobordisms, then for any continuous equivariant $f : M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ the set of zeros $Z_f \neq \emptyset$.

¹It is not hard to prove the corollary using the standard techniques developed in [4]. However, we couldn’t find this kind statements in the Borsuk-Ulam theorem literature.

2 Generic G -maps

If G is a group and X is a set, then a group action of G on X is a binary function $G \times X \rightarrow X$ denoted $(g, x) \rightarrow g(x)$ which satisfies the following two axioms: (1) $(gh)(x) = g(h(x))$ for all g, h in G and x in X ; (2) $e(x) = x$ for every x in X (where e denotes the identity element of G). The set X is called a G -set. The group G is said to act on X .

Let a finite group G act on a set X . We say that $Y \subset X$ is a G -subset if $g(y) \in Y$ for all $g \in G$ and $y \in Y$, i.e. $G(Y) = Y$. Clearly, for any $x \in X$ the orbit $G(x) := \{g(x), g \in G\}$ is a G -subset. Denote by $\text{Orb}(X, G)$ the set of orbits of G on X , i.e. $\text{Orb}(X, G) = \{G(x)\}$.

Let $G_x := \{g \in G | g(x) = x\}$ denote the *stabilizer* (or *isotropy subgroup*). Let $X^H = \{x \in X | g(x) = x, \forall g \in H\}$ denote the fixed point set of a subgroup $H \subset G$. If H is isomorphic to $G_x \neq e$, then $X^H \neq \emptyset$.

Recall that a group action is called *free* if $G_x = \{e\}$ for all x , i.e. $g(x) = x$ if only if $g = e$. Note that for a free action G on X each orbit $G(x)$ consists of $|G|$ points.

Here we consider *piece-wise linear* (or *PL*, or *simplicial*) G -manifolds X , i.e. there is a triangulation Λ of X such that for any $g \in G$, any simplex σ of Λ is mapped bijectively onto the simplex $g(\sigma)$. The triangulation Λ is called *equivariant*. Actually, any smooth G -manifold admits an equivariant triangulation [8].

Every free action of a finite group G on a compact PL-manifold X admits an equivariant triangulation such that for each simplex there are no vertices in the same orbit. Otherwise, we indeed can subdivide these simplices.

A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of G -sets X and Y is called *equivariant* (or G -map) if $f(g(x)) = g(f(x))$ for all $g \in G, x \in X$.

For a PL G -space (in particular, for a PL G -manifold) we say that an equivariant map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is *simplicial* if f is a linear map for each simplex $\sigma \in \Lambda$. For an equivariant triangulation Λ , any simplicial map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is uniquely determined by the set of vertices $V(\Lambda)$. Indeed, for each simplex σ of Λ , f is linear, and therefore is determined by the vertices of σ .

Any equivariant continuous map can be approximated by an equivariant simplicial map.

Lemma 1. *Let G be a finite group acting linearly on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let X be a compact simplicial G -space. Then for any equivariant continuous $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an equivariant simplicial map $\tilde{f} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\|f(x) - \tilde{f}(x)\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in X$.*

Proof. It is well known (see, for instance, [7, Section 2.2]) that any continuous map on a compact PL-complex can be approximated by simplicial maps. Therefore there exists a triangulation Λ and a simplicial map $\hat{f}$ such that $\|f(x) - \hat{f}(x)\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in X$. Clearly, there exists an equivariant subdivision Λ' of Λ with $G(V(\Lambda)) \subset V(\Lambda')$. For $v \in V(\Lambda')$, let $\tilde{f}(v) := f(v)$. Then $\tilde{f}|_{V(\Lambda')}$ defines a simplicial map with $\|f(x) - \tilde{f}(x)\| \leq \varepsilon$. $\square$

Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ be a representation of a group G on $\mathbb{R}^n$. In other words, G is acting linearly on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then $F := (\mathbb{R}^n)^G$ is a linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Denote by L an invariant linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$ which is transversal to F . Then $L^G = \{0\}$. So without loss of generality we can consider only linear actions with $(\mathbb{R}^n)^G = \{0\}$, i.e. linear actions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that the fixed point set of these actions is the origin.

Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Denote by Z_f the set of zeros, i.e.

$$Z_f = \{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}.$$

In this paper we need generic maps with respect to Z_f . Let X be a simplicial m -dimensional G -manifold. Set $O_\varepsilon := \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|v\| < \varepsilon\}$. A continuous equivariant $f : X^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called *transversal to zeros* if Z_f is a manifold of dimension $m - n$, and there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any $v \in O_\varepsilon$, the sets $f^{-1}(v)$ and Z_f are homeomorphic.

Definition. Given a finite group G . Let X^m be a PL free G -manifold. Let Λ be an equivariant triangulation of X . We say that an equivariant simplicial map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is *generic* (with respect to zeros) if Z_f does not intersect the $(n-1)$ -skeleton $\Lambda(n-1)$ of Λ . (Recall that the k -skeleton of Λ is the subcomplex $\Lambda(k)$ that consists of all simplices of dimension at most k .)

All simplicial generic maps are transversal to zeros.

Lemma 2. *Let G be a finite group acting linearly on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $(\mathbb{R}^n)^G = \{0\}$. Let X^m be a PL compact m -dimensional free G -manifold with or without boundary. Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be an equivariant simplicial generic map. If $n \leq m$, then Z_f is an invariant submanifold of X of dimension $m - n$. Moreover, if the boundary ∂Z_f is not empty, then it lies in ∂X .*

Proof. Note that $Z_f \subset X$ is a locally polyhedral surface consisting of $(m - n)$ -dimensional cells. This is because for each m -simplex σ we have the linear map $f|_\sigma : \sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, and $Z_f \cap \sigma$ is defined by the generic linear equation $f(x) = 0$. Hence, the components of Z_f are PL manifolds. $\square$

For G -spaces, the Tietze-Gleason theorem states: *Let a compact group G act on X with a closed invariant set A . Let G also acts linearly on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then any equivariant $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ extends to $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ (see [3, Theorem 2.3]).*

It is known from results of Bierstone [2] and Field [6] on G -transversality theory that the zero set is a stratified set. If G acts free on a compact smooth G -manifold X , then this theory implies that the set of non-generic equivariant smooth $f : X^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ has measure zero in the space of all smooth G -maps. Let us extend this result as well as the Tietze-Gleason theorem for the PL case.

Lemma 3. *Let G be a finite group acting linearly on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $(\mathbb{R}^n)^G = \{0\}$. Let X^m be a PL compact free G -manifold with or without boundary. Let Λ be an equivariant triangulation of X . Let A be an invariant subset of $V(\Lambda)$ or let $A = \emptyset$. Let $h : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a given equivariant generic map. Then the set of non-generic simplicial maps $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $f|_A = h$ has measure zero in the space of all possible equivariant maps $f : V(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $f|_A = h$.*

Proof. Let $V' := V(\Lambda) \setminus A$. Let $C \in \text{Orb}(V', G)$ be an orbit of G on V' . Then $|C| = p$, where $p := |G|$. Since for any equivariant $f : V(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $v \in V(\Lambda)$ we have $f(g(v)) = g(f(v))$, the vector $u = f(v) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ yields the map $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$.

Suppose G has k orbits on V' . Take in each orbit $C_i \in \text{Orb}(V', G)$ a vertex x_i . Denote by M_G the space of all equivariant maps $f : V' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the space M_G is of dimension $N = kn$.

Consider an $(n-1)$ -simplex $\sigma \in \Lambda$ with vertices $v_1, \dots, v_n$ which are not all from A . Note that $f \in M_G$ is not generic on σ if $0 \in f(\sigma)$. In particular, the hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}^n$ which is defined by vectors $f(v_1), \dots, f(v_n)$ passes through the origin 0. In other words, the determinant of n vectors $f(v_1), \dots, f(v_n)$ equals zero.

This constraint gives a proper algebraic subvariety $s(\sigma)$ in M_G . Let $v_1, \dots, v_d$ be vertices of σ which are not in A . Then $s(\sigma)$ is of dimension $N - 1$. Indeed, $u_i = f(v_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, are vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then the equation

$$\det(u_1, \dots, u_d, h(v_{d+1}), \dots, h(v_n)) = 0$$

defines the subvariety $s(\sigma)$ in M_G of dimension $N - 1$.

Since the union of all subvarieties $s(\sigma)$ with $\dim \sigma = n - 1$ is at most of dimension $N - 1$, it has measure zero in M_G . From this it follows that the set of all non-generic f has measure zero in this space. $\square$

Let M_0 and M_1 be closed m -dimensional simplicial manifolds with free actions of a finite group G . Then an $(m+1)$ -dimensional simplicial free G -manifold W is called a *free G -cobordism* (W, M_0, M_1) if the boundary ∂W consists of M_0 and M_1 , and the action G on W respects actions on M_i .

Lemma 4. *Let G be a finite group acting linearly on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $(\mathbb{R}^n)^G = \{0\}$. Let (W, M_0, M_1) be a free G -cobordism. Let $f_i : M_i^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $i = 0, 1$, be equivariant simplicial generic maps. Then there is an equivariant simplicial generic $F : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $F|_{M_i} = f_i$, and such that (Z_F, Z_{f_0}, Z_{f_1}) is a free G -cobordism.*

Proof. The existence of such a generic F follows from Lemma 3. Lemma 2 implies that Z_F is a G -submanifold of W . Clearly, $g(Z_F) = Z_F$ and $g(Z_{f_i}) = Z_{f_i}$ for all $g \in G$. Since $\partial Z_F = Z_{f_0} \sqcup Z_{f_1}$ is an $(m-n)$ -dimensional G -manifold, we have a free G -cobordism (Z_F, Z_{f_0}, Z_{f_1}) . $\square$

3 G -maps and a Borsuk-Ulam type theorem

In this section we consider a Borsuk-Ulam type theorem for the case $m = n$.

Definition. Given a finite group G acting free on a closed PL-manifold M^n and acting linearly on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $(\mathbb{R}^n)^G = \{0\}$. Let $f : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a continuous equivariant transversal to zeros map. Since Z_f is a finite free G -invariant subset of M , we have $|Z_f| = k|G|$, where $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Set $\deg_G(f) := 1$ if k is odd, and $\deg_G(f) := 0$ if k is even.

Lemma 5. *Let G be a finite group acting linearly on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $(\mathbb{R}^n)^G = \{0\}$. Let (W^{n+1}, M_0^n, M_1^n) be a free G -cobordism. Let $h_i : M_i^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $i = 0, 1$, be continuous equivariant transversal to zeros maps. Then $\deg_G(h_0) = \deg_G(h_1)$.*

Proof. Lemma 1 and Lemma 3 yield that for any $h_i : M_i^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a generic map $a_{i,\varepsilon}$ such that $\|a_{i,\varepsilon}(x) - h_i(x)\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in M_i$. If $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, then $Z_{i,\varepsilon} := a_{i,\varepsilon}^{-1}(0) \rightarrow Z_{h_i}$. This implies that for a sufficiently small ε there is an equivariant bijection between $Z_{i,\varepsilon}$ and Z_{h_i} . Therefore, $\deg_G(a_{i,\varepsilon}) = \deg_G(h_i)$.

Let ε be sufficiently small. Set $f_i := a_{i,\varepsilon}$. From Lemma 4 it follows that there is an equivariant simplicial generic $F : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $F|_{M_i} = f_i$ such that (Z_F, Z_{f_0}, Z_{f_1}) is a free G -cobordism. We have $m = n$. Then Z_F is a submanifold of W of dimension one. Therefore Z_F consists of arcs $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell$ with ends in Z_{f_i} and cycles which do not intersect M_i .

Since any continuous map $s : \gamma_k \rightarrow \gamma_k$ has a fixed point, G cannot act free on γ_k . Therefore, G acts free on the set of all arcs $\{\gamma_k\}$. Moreover, the ends of any arc cannot lie in the same orbit of G . From this it follows that $|Z_{f_1}| = |Z_{f_0}| \pmod{2|G|}$, i.e. $\deg_G(f_0) = \deg_G(f_1)$. $\square$

Theorem 1. *Let G be a finite group acting linearly on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $(\mathbb{R}^n)^G = \{0\}$. Let M^n be a closed connected PL free G -manifold. If there is a closed PL free G -manifold N^n which is free G -cobordant to M^n and a continuous equivariant transversal to zeros $h : N^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\deg_G(h) = 1$, then for any continuous equivariant $f : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ the zero set Z_f is not empty.*

Proof. Let $\deg_G(h) = 1$. Suppose that $Z_f = \emptyset$. Since M is compact, there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\|f(x)\| \geq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in M$. From Lemma 3 it follows that there exists a generic $\tilde{f}$ such that $\|f(x) - \tilde{f}(x)\| \leq \varepsilon/2$ for all $x \in M$. Then $\|\tilde{f}(x)\| \geq \varepsilon/2$ for all $x \in M$ and $Z_{\tilde{f}} = \emptyset$. Therefore, $\deg_G(\tilde{f}) = 0$. On the other hand, Lemma 5 implies $0 = \deg_G(\tilde{f}) = \deg_G(h) = 1$, a contradiction. $\square$

Remark. Actually, Lemma 5 immediately implies that the assumption in the theorem: *There is a free G -manifold N^n which is free G -cobordant to M^n and a continuous equivariant transversal to zeros $h : N^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\deg_G(h) = 1$* is equivalent to the following statement: *There is a continuous equivariant transversal to zeros map $h : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\deg_G(h) = 1$.* However, since the assumption in the theorem is more general, it sometimes can be more easily checked for N which are free G -cobordant to M . (For instance, see our proof of Theorem 2.)

4 BUT manifolds

In this section, we consider the classical case $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$. Let M be a closed PL-manifold with a free simplicial involution $T : M \rightarrow M$, i.e. $T^2(x) = x$ and $T(x) \neq x$ for all $x \in M$. For any $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -manifold (M, T) we say that a map $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is *antipodal* (or equivariant) if $f(T(x)) = -f(x)$.

Definition. We say that a closed PL free $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -manifold (M^n, T) is a *BUT (Borsuk-Ulam Type) manifold* if for any continuous $g : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ there is a point $x \in M$ such that $g(T(x)) = g(x)$. Equivalently, if a continuous map $f : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is antipodal, then Z_f is not empty.

Let us recall several facts about $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -cobordisms which are related to our main theorem in this section. We write $\mathfrak{N}_n$ for the group of unoriented cobordism classes of n -dimensional manifolds. Thom's cobordism theorem says that the graded ring of cobordism classes $\mathfrak{N}_*$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2[x_2, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_8, x_9, \dots]$ with one generator x_k in each degree k not of the form $2^i - 1$. Note that $x_{2k} = [\mathbb{R}P^{2k}]$.

Let $\mathfrak{N}_*(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ denote the unoriented cobordism group of free involutions. Then $\mathfrak{N}_*(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is a free $\mathfrak{N}_*$ -module with basis $[\mathbb{S}^n, A]$, $n \geq 0$, where $[\mathbb{S}^n, A]$ is the cobordism class of the antipodal involution on the n -sphere [5, Theorem 23.2]. Thus, each $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -manifold can be uniquely represented in $\mathfrak{N}_n(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ in the form:

$$[M, T] = \sum_{k=0}^n [V^k][\mathbb{S}^{n-k}, A].$$

Theorem 2. *Let M^n be a closed connected PL-manifold with a free simplicial involution T . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) *M is a BUT manifold.*
- (b) *M admits an antipodal continuous transversal to zeros map $h : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\deg_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(h) = 1$.*
- (c) *$[M^n, T] = [\mathbb{S}^n, A] + [V^1][\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, A] + \dots + [V^n][\mathbb{S}^0, A]$ in $\mathfrak{N}_n(\mathbb{Z}_2)$.*

Proof. (1) First we prove that (c) is equivalent to (b). Let

$$[M, T] = [V^0][\mathbb{S}^n, A] + [V^1][\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, A] + \dots + [V^n][\mathbb{S}^0, A].$$

Denote by

$$N := V^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup V^n \times \mathbb{S}^0.$$

Note that for any k , where $0 \leq k < n$, the standard antipodal embedding of $\mathbb{S}^k$ into $\mathbb{R}^n$ has no zeros. This implies that there is a generic antipodal $p : N^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $Z_p = \emptyset$. If $[V^0] = 0$, then M is free $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -cobordant to N . Therefore, Lemma 5 yields that for any generic antipodal $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ we have $\deg_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(f) = \deg_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(p) = 0$. This contradicts (b), and thus $[V^0] = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.

On the other hand, if $[V^0] = 1$, then M is free $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -cobordant to $L := \mathbb{S}^n \sqcup N$. Take any generic antipodal $h : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Since for any generic antipodal $g : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ we have $\deg_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(g) = 1$, it again follows from Lemma 5 that $\deg_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(h) = 1$.

(2) Theorem 1 yields: (b) implies (a).

(3) (a) implies (c). In fact, it follows from [12, Theorem 3].² This theorem yields that if $w_1^n(M/\mathbb{Z}_2) = 0 \in H^n(M/\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, then there is an antipodal continuous map $h : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, i.e. $Z_h = \emptyset$. Therefore, $w_1^n(M/\mathbb{Z}_2) \neq 0$. It holds if and only if we have (c). $\square$

²I would to thank Alexey Volovikov who noted this theorem. He as well as Pavle Blagojević and Roman Karasev also sent me another proof of [12, Theorem 3].

Remark. Actually, the list of equivalent versions in Theorem 2 can be continued. Tucker's lemma is a discrete version of the Borsuk-Ulam theorem:

Let Λ be any equivariant triangulation of $\mathbb{S}^n$. Let

$$L : V(\Lambda) \rightarrow \{+1, -1, +2, -2, \dots, +n, -n\}$$

be an equivariant (or Tucker) labelling, i.e. $L(T(v)) = -L(v)$. Then there exists a complementary edge in Λ such that its two vertices are labelled by opposite numbers (see [9, Theorem 2.3.1]).

Let M be as in Theorem 2. Using the same arguments as in [9, Theorem 2.3.2], it can be proved that the following statement is equivalent to (a):

(d) For any equivariant labelling of an equivariant triangulation of M there is a complementary edge.

In fact, any equivariant labelling L defines a simplicial map $f_L : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Indeed, let $e_1, \dots, e_n$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$. We define $f_L : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ for $v \in V(\Lambda)$ by $f_L(v) = e_i$ if $L(v) = i$ and $f_L(v) = -e_i$ if $L(v) = -i$. In the paper [11], it is shown that M is a BUT manifold if and only if

(e) There exist an equivariant triangulation Λ of M and an equivariant labelling of $V(\Lambda)$ such that $f_L : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is transversal to zeros and the number of complementary edges is $4k + 2$, where $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Lyusternik and Shnirelman proved in 1930 that for any cover $F_1, \dots, F_{n+1}$ of the sphere $\mathbb{S}^n$ by $n + 1$ closed sets, there is at least one set containing a pair of antipodal points (that is, $F_i \cap (-F_i) \neq \emptyset$). Equivalently, for any cover $U_1, \dots, U_{n+1}$ of $\mathbb{S}^n$ by $n + 1$ open sets, there is at least one set containing a pair of antipodal points [9, Theorem 2.1.1]. By the same arguments it can be shown that M is a BUT manifold if and only if

(f) M is a Lusternik-Shnirelman type manifold, i.e. for any cover $F_1, \dots, F_{n+1}$ of M^n by $n + 1$ closed (respectively, by $n + 1$ open) sets, there is at least one set containing a pair $(x, T(x))$.

Denote by $\text{cat}(X)$ the Lusternik-Shnirelman category of a space X , i.e. the smallest m such that there exists an open covering $U_1, \dots, U_{m+1}$ of X with each U_i contractible to a point in X . It is not hard to prove the last statement in this Remark:

(g) M is a BUT manifold if and only if $\text{cat}(M/T) = \text{cat}(\mathbb{RP}^n) = n$.

Usually, it is not easy to find $\text{cat}(X)$. Here, Theorems 2-4 yield interesting possibilities to find lower bounds for $\text{cat}(M/G)$.

Corollary 1. Let M be any closed manifold. Then for the connected sum $M \# M$ there exists a “centrally symmetric” free involution such that $M \# M$ is a BUT manifold.

Proof. The Whitney embedding theorem states that any smooth or simplicial n -dimensional manifold can be embedded in Euclidean $2n$ -space. Consider an embedding of M in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$. Let M_- (respectively, M_+) denote the set of points in $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with $x_1 < 0$ (respectively, $x_1 > 0$). Let M_0 be the set of points in M with $x_1 = 0$. Without loss of generality we can assume that M is embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ in such a way that M_- is homeomorphic to an open n -ball and M_0 is a sphere $x_1^2 + \dots + x_{n+1}^2 = 1$ with $x_{n+2} = \dots = x_{2n} = 0$. Then the central symmetry s ($s(x) = -x$) is well defined on $X := s(M_+) \cup M_0 \cup M_+$ as a free involution, and X is homeomorphic to $M \# M$. Consider the projection h of X onto the n -plane $x_{n+1} = \dots = x_{2n} = 0$, i.e. $h(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Since $Z_h \subset M_0$, we have $Z_h = Z_t$, where $t := h|_{M_0}$. On the other hand, $t : M_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is an orthogonal projection of $\mathbb{S}^n$. Thus, $|Z_h| = 2$ and Theorem 2(b) implies that $M \# M$ is a BUT manifold. $\square$

5 Obstructions for G -maps in cobordisms

In the previous sections, we considered equivariant maps $f : M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $m = n$. Now we extend this approach to the case $m \geq n$.

Consider closed PL manifolds with an H -structure (such as an orientation). One can define a “cobordism with H -structure”, but there are various technicalities. In each particular case, cobordism is an equivalence relation on manifolds. A basic question is to determine the equivalence classes for this relationship, called the cobordism classes of manifolds. These form a graded ring called the cobordism ring Ω_*^H , with grading by dimension, addition by disjoint union, and multiplication by cartesian product.

Let $\Omega_*^H(G)$ denote the PL cobordism group with H -structure of free simplicial actions of a finite group G . Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ be a representation of a group G on $\mathbb{R}^n$ which also has H -structure. Lemma 4 shows that for a generic simplicial equivariant map $f : M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ the cobordism class of the manifold Z_f is uniquely defined up to cobordisms and so well defines a homomorphism

$$\mu_\rho^G : \Omega_m^H(G) \rightarrow \Omega_{m-n}^H(G).$$

Remark. Note that the homomorphism $\mu_\rho^G : \Omega_m^H(G) \rightarrow \Omega_{m-n}^H(G)$ depends only on a representation of a group G on $\mathbb{R}^n$. For some groups, this homomorphism is known in algebraic topology. For instance, if $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $H = O$ (unoriented cobordisms, i.e. $\Omega_*^O(\mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathfrak{N}_*(\mathbb{Z}_2)$), then $\mu = \Delta^k$, where Δ is called the *Smith homomorphism*. Conner and Floyd [5, Theorem 26.1] give the following definition of Δ : *Let T be a free involution on a closed manifold M . For $n \geq m$ there exists an antipodal generic map $f : M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$. Let $X^{m-1} = f^{-1}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$. Then the map $\Delta : \Omega_m^O(\mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow \Omega_{m-1}^O(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ which is defined by $[M^m, T] \rightarrow [X^{m-1}, T|_X]$ is a homomorphism and Δ does not depend on n and f .*

The invariant μ_ρ^G is an obstruction for the existence of equivariant maps $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Namely, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let M^m be a closed PL G -manifold with a free action τ . Let ρ be a linear action of G on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let us assume that actions, manifolds, and maps are with H -structure. Suppose that $\mu_\rho^G([M, \tau]) \neq 0$ in $\Omega_{m-n}^H(G)$. Then for any continuous equivariant map $f : M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ the set of zeros Z_f is not empty.*

Proof. Actually the proof of the theorem and of the corollary are almost word for word the same as the proof of Theorem 1. Let us suppose that $f^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$. Since M is compact, there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\|f(x)\| \geq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in M$. From Lemma 1 and Lemma 3, it follows that there exists a generic h such that $\|f(x) - h(x)\| \leq \varepsilon/2$ for all $x \in M$. By Lemma 4 we have $\mu_\rho^G([M, \tau]) = [Z_h]_G \neq 0$ in $\Omega_{m-n}^H(G)$. Then Z_h is a submanifold of M of dimension $m - n$.

Since $Z_h \neq \emptyset$, there is $x \in M$ such that $h(x) = 0$. Thus, $\varepsilon/2 \geq \|f(x) - h(x)\| = \|f(x)\| \geq \varepsilon > 0$, a contradiction. $\square$

Corollary 2. *Let manifolds, actions, and maps be as above. Suppose that there is a continuous equivariant transversal (in zeros) map $h : M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that the set Z_h is a closed submanifold in M of codimension n and $[Z_h]_G \neq 0$ in $\Omega_{m-n}^H(G)$. Then for any continuous equivariant map $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ the set of zeros Z_f is not empty.*

Proof. By Lemma 3, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a generic map a_ε such that $\|a_\varepsilon(x) - h(x)\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in M$. If $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, then $Z_\varepsilon := a_\varepsilon^{-1}(0) \rightarrow Z$. This implies that for a sufficiently small ε , there is a homeomorphism between Z_ε and Z_h . Therefore, $\mu_\rho^G([M, \tau]) = [Z_h]_G \neq 0$ in $\Omega_{m-n}^H(G)$. $\square$

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\rho(x) = -x$. Denote by $\mu_n := \mu_{\rho^{\mathbb{Z}_2}}$. Then we have

$$\mu_n : \mathfrak{N}_m(\mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{m-n}(\mathbb{Z}_2).$$

If $u \in \mathfrak{N}_m(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is given explicitly, then we can easily find $\mu_n(u)$.

Lemma 6.

$$\mu_n([M^m, T]) = \mu_n \left(\sum_{k=0}^m [V^k] [\mathbb{S}^{m-k}, A] \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-n} [V^k] [\mathbb{S}^{m-n-k}, A].$$

Proof. Since $Z_{f_i} = F^{-1}(0) \cap M_i$ in Lemma 4 are cobordant to each other, we can consider the standard projection $Pr : \mathbb{S}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $Pr^{-1}(0) = \mathbb{S}^{d-n}$. This yields $\mu_n([\mathbb{S}^d, A]) = [\mathbb{S}^{d-n}, A]$. It is clear that the lemma follows from this equality. $\square$

Lemma 6 and Theorem 3 imply the following corollary.

Corollary 3. *Let $[M^m, T] = [V^{m-n}][\mathbb{S}^n, A] + [V^{m-n+1}][\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, A] + \dots + [V^m][\mathbb{S}^0, A]$ in $\mathfrak{N}_m(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ with $[V^{m-n}] \neq 0$ in $\mathfrak{N}_{m-n}$. Then for any antipodal continuous map $f : M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ the set Z_f is not empty.*

This corollary can be extended for the case $G = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^k = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Note that $\mathfrak{N}_*((\mathbb{Z}_2)^k) = \mathfrak{N}_*(\mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathfrak{N}_*(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ [5, Sec. 29]. In other words, $\mathfrak{N}_*((\mathbb{Z}_2)^k)$ is a free $\mathfrak{N}_*$ -module with generators $\{\gamma_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \gamma_{i_k}\}$, $i_1, \dots, i_k = 0, 1, \dots$, where $\gamma_i := [\mathbb{S}^i, A] \in \mathfrak{N}_i(\mathbb{Z}_2)$.

Corollary 4. *Let M be a closed PL manifold with a free action Ψ of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^k$. Let $[M^m, \Psi] = \sum [V^{i_1, \dots, i_k}] \gamma_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \gamma_{i_k}$ in $\mathfrak{N}_m((\mathbb{Z}_2)^k)$ with $[V^{i_1, \dots, i_k}] \neq 0$ in $\mathfrak{N}_*$. Consider $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^k$ with generators $T_1, \dots, T_k$ acting on $\mathbb{R}^{i_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}^{i_k}$ by $T_\ell(x) = -x$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{i_\ell}$. Then for any continuous equivariant map $f : M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{i_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}^{i_k}$ the set Z_f is not empty.*

Let $\tilde{G}(4, 2)$ be the oriented Grassmann manifold, that is, the space of all oriented 2-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^4$. Note that $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ acts on $\tilde{G}(4, 2)$, where for $x \in \tilde{G}(4, 2)$, $T_1(x)$ changes the orientation of x and $T_2(x)$ is the oriented orthogonal complement of an oriented 2-plane x in $\mathbb{R}^4$.

It is well known that $\tilde{G}(4, 2)$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ [7, 3.2.3]. For $(u, v) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, we have $T_1(u, v) = (-u, -v)$ and $T_2(u, v) = (u, -v)$. Then for $\Psi = (T_1, T_2)$ we have: $[\tilde{G}(4, 2), \Psi] = \gamma_2 \otimes \gamma_2$ in $\mathfrak{N}_4(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Then Corollary 4 (or Theorem 1) yields:

Corollary 5. *Let $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ act on $\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{R}^2 \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$ by $T_1(u, v) = (-u, -v)$ and $T_2(u, v) = (u, -v)$. Then for any equivariant continuous $f : \tilde{G}(4, 2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ the set Z_f is not empty.*

Acknowledgment. I wish to thank Arseniy Akopyan, Imre Bárány, Pavle Blagojević, Mike Field, Jiří Matoušek, Alexey Volovikov, and Günter Ziegler for helpful discussions and comments. I am most grateful to Roman Karasev and to the anonymous referee for several critical comments and corrections.

References

- [1] I. Bárány, Borsuk's theorem through complementary pivoting, *Math. Programming*, **18** (1980), 84-88.
- [2] E. Bierstone, General Position of Equivariant Maps, *Trans. Amer. Mat. Soc.*, **234** (1977), 447-466.
- [3] G. E. Bredon, Introduction to compact transformation groups, Academic Press, 1972.
- [4] P. E. Conner and E. E. Floyd, Fixed points free involutions and equivariant maps, *Bull. Amer. Mat. Soc.*, **60** (1960), 416-441.
- [5] P. E. Conner and E. E. Floyd, Differentiable periodic maps, Springer-Verlag, 1964.
- [6] M. J. Field, Transversality in G -manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Mat. Soc.*, **231** (1977), 429-450.

- [7] D. B. Fuks and V. A. Rokhlin, Beginner's course in topology. Geometric chapters, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1984
- [8] S. Illman, Smooth equivariant triangulations of G -manifolds for G a finite group, *Math. Ann.*, **233** (1978), 199-220
- [9] J. Matoušek, Using the Borsuk-Ulam theorem, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [10] M. D. Meyerson and A. H. Wright, A new and constructive proof of the Borsuk-Ulam theorem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **73** (1979), 134-136.
- [11] O. R. Musin, Sperner and Tucker's lemmas and its generalizations, in preparation.
- [12] D. Repovš and A. Skopenkov, On projected embeddings and desuspending the α -invariant, *Topology and its Applications*, **124** (2002) 69-75.
- [13] H. Steinlein, Borsuk's antipodal theorem and its generalizations and applications: A survey, *Méth. Topol. en Anal. Non Linéaire, Sémin. Math. Sup.*, **95** (1985), Presses Univ. Montréal, Montreal, Quebec, 166-235.
- [14] A. S. Svarc, The genus of a fiber space, *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obsc.*, **10** (1961), 217-272 and **11** (1962), 99-126; (Russian), English translation in *Amer. Math. Soc. Translat.*, II. Ser., **55** (1966), 49-140.

O. R. Musin, Department of Mathematics, University of Texas at Brownsville,
 80 Fort Brown, Brownsville, TX, 78520.
E-mail address: oleg.musin@utb.edu